Conditions for similarity to a normal operator involving resolvent estimates

Daniel Estévez

Universidad Autónoma de Madrid

Joint work with Michael Dritschel (Newcastle Univ.) and Dmitry Yakubovich (UAM)

Operator Theory 26 28 June 2016, Timisoara Pointwise resolvent estimates

2 Mean squares resolvent estimates

3 Tools used in the proofs

(1

Pointwise resolvent estimates

Mean squares resolvent estimates

3) Tools used in the proofs

Daniel Estévez (UAM)

- T a bounded operator on a Hilbert space H. σ(T) contained in a smooth curve Γ without self-intersections.
- Stampfli (1965): If ||(T − λ)⁻¹|| ≤ dist(λ, Γ)⁻¹ in some neighbourhood of Γ, then T is normal.
- First proved by Nieminen (1962) for $\Gamma = \mathbb{R}$ and Donoghue (1963) for $\Gamma = \mathbb{T}$.
- Natural question: What about similarity to a normal operator?
- There are operators T with σ(T) ⊂ Γ and such that ||(T − λ)⁻¹|| ≤ C dist(λ, Γ)⁻¹ but T is not similar to a normal. Benamara-Nikolski (1999), Nikolski-Treil (2002).

If Ω is a $C^{1+\alpha}$ domain, $\Gamma = \partial \Omega$, $\sigma(T) \subset \Gamma$, U a neighbourhood of Γ ,

$$\begin{split} \|(T-\lambda)^{-1}\| &\leq \operatorname{dist}(\lambda,\Gamma)^{-1}, \quad \lambda \in U \setminus \overline{\Omega}, \\ \|(T-\lambda)^{-1}\| &\leq C \operatorname{dist}(\lambda,\Gamma)^{-1}, \quad \lambda \in \Omega, \end{split}$$

then T is similar to a normal.

- T a bounded operator on a Hilbert space H. σ(T) contained in a smooth curve Γ without self-intersections.
- Stampfli (1965): If ||(T − λ)⁻¹|| ≤ dist(λ, Γ)⁻¹ in some neighbourhood of Γ, then T is normal.
- First proved by Nieminen (1962) for $\Gamma = \mathbb{R}$ and Donoghue (1963) for $\Gamma = \mathbb{T}$.
- Natural question: What about similarity to a normal operator?
- There are operators T with $\sigma(T) \subset \Gamma$ and such that $||(T \lambda)^{-1}|| \leq C \operatorname{dist}(\lambda, \Gamma)^{-1}$ but T is not similar to a normal. Benamara-Nikolski (1999), Nikolski-Treil (2002).

If Ω is a $C^{1+\alpha}$ domain, $\Gamma = \partial \Omega$, $\sigma(T) \subset \Gamma$, U a neighbourhood of Γ ,

$$\begin{split} \|(T-\lambda)^{-1}\| &\leq \operatorname{dist}(\lambda,\Gamma)^{-1}, \quad \lambda \in U \setminus \overline{\Omega}, \\ \|(T-\lambda)^{-1}\| &\leq C \operatorname{dist}(\lambda,\Gamma)^{-1}, \quad \lambda \in \Omega, \end{split}$$

then T is similar to a normal.

- T a bounded operator on a Hilbert space H. σ(T) contained in a smooth curve Γ without self-intersections.
- Stampfli (1965): If ||(T − λ)⁻¹|| ≤ dist(λ, Γ)⁻¹ in some neighbourhood of Γ, then T is normal.
- First proved by Nieminen (1962) for $\Gamma = \mathbb{R}$ and Donoghue (1963) for $\Gamma = \mathbb{T}$.
- Natural question: What about similarity to a normal operator?
- There are operators *T* with *σ*(*T*) ⊂ Γ and such that ||(*T* − λ)⁻¹|| ≤ *C* dist(λ, Γ)⁻¹ but *T* is not similar to a normal. Benamara-Nikolski (1999), Nikolski-Treil (2002).

```
If \Omega is a C^{1+\alpha} domain, \Gamma = \partial \Omega, \sigma(T) \subset \Gamma, U a neighbourhood of \Gamma,
```

$$\|(T-\lambda)^{-1}\| \le \operatorname{dist}(\lambda, \Gamma)^{-1}, \quad \lambda \in U \setminus \overline{\Omega},$$

then T is similar to a normal.

- T a bounded operator on a Hilbert space H. σ(T) contained in a smooth curve Γ without self-intersections.
- Stampfli (1965): If ||(T − λ)⁻¹|| ≤ dist(λ, Γ)⁻¹ in some neighbourhood of Γ, then T is normal.
- First proved by Nieminen (1962) for $\Gamma = \mathbb{R}$ and Donoghue (1963) for $\Gamma = \mathbb{T}$.
- Natural question: What about similarity to a normal operator?
- There are operators *T* with *σ*(*T*) ⊂ Γ and such that ||(*T* − λ)⁻¹|| ≤ *C* dist(λ, Γ)⁻¹ but *T* is not similar to a normal. Benamara-Nikolski (1999), Nikolski-Treil (2002).

If Ω is a $C^{1+\alpha}$ domain, $\Gamma = \partial \Omega$, $\sigma(T) \subset \Gamma$, U a neighbourhood of Γ ,

$$\|(T-\lambda)^{-1}\| \leq \operatorname{dist}(\lambda,\Gamma)^{-1}, \quad \lambda \in U \setminus \overline{\Omega},$$

$$\|(T - \lambda)^{-1}\| \le C \operatorname{dist}(\lambda, \Gamma)^{-1}, \quad \lambda \in \Omega,$$

then T is similar to a normal.

- If T is a contraction, then $||(T \lambda)^{-1}|| \le (|\lambda| 1)^{-1}$ for $|\lambda| > 1$.
- A contraction *T* is similar to a unitary if and only if $||\Theta_T(z)^{-1}|| \le C$ for |z| < 1 (Sz.Nagy-Foias).
- A contraction similar to a unitary satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem A. It can be transplanted to other domains by a Riemann mapping.
- ρ -contractions also serve as examples. *T* is a ρ -contraction if there is a unitary *U* on a larger space *K* such that $T^n = \rho P_H U^n | H$ for $n \ge 1$.
- If *T* is a ρ -contraction with $\rho \ge 2$, then $||(T \lambda)^{-1}|| \le (|\lambda| 1)^{-1}$ for $1 < |\lambda| < (\rho 1)/(\rho 2)$.
- A ρ -contraction similar to a unitary satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem A.
- An example of a 2-contraction similar to a unitary which is not a contraction: The bilateral weighted shift in $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ with weights ..., 1, 1, α , β , 1, 1, ..., where α , $\beta > 0$, max $(\alpha, \beta) > 1$ and $\alpha^2 + \beta^2 < 2$.

- If T is a contraction, then $||(T \lambda)^{-1}|| \le (|\lambda| 1)^{-1}$ for $|\lambda| > 1$.
- A contraction *T* is similar to a unitary if and only if $||\Theta_T(z)^{-1}|| \le C$ for |z| < 1 (Sz.Nagy-Foias).
- A contraction similar to a unitary satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem A. It can be transplanted to other domains by a Riemann mapping.
- *ρ*-contractions also serve as examples. *T* is a *ρ*-contraction if there is a unitary *U* on a larger space *K* such that *Tⁿ* = *ρP_HUⁿ*|*H* for *n* ≥ 1.
- If T is a ρ -contraction with $\rho \ge 2$, then $\|(T \lambda)^{-1}\| \le (|\lambda| 1)^{-1}$ for $1 < |\lambda| < (\rho 1)/(\rho 2)$.
- A ρ -contraction similar to a unitary satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem A.
- An example of a 2-contraction similar to a unitary which is not a contraction: The bilateral weighted shift in $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ with weights ..., 1, 1, α , β , 1, 1, ..., where α , $\beta > 0$, max(α , β) > 1 and $\alpha^2 + \beta^2 < 2$.

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨ

- If T is a contraction, then $||(T \lambda)^{-1}|| \le (|\lambda| 1)^{-1}$ for $|\lambda| > 1$.
- A contraction *T* is similar to a unitary if and only if $||\Theta_T(z)^{-1}|| \le C$ for |z| < 1 (Sz.Nagy-Foias).
- A contraction similar to a unitary satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem A. It can be transplanted to other domains by a Riemann mapping.
- *ρ*-contractions also serve as examples. *T* is a *ρ*-contraction if there is a unitary *U* on a larger space *K* such that *Tⁿ* = *ρP_HUⁿ*|*H* for *n* ≥ 1.
- If T is a ρ -contraction with $\rho \ge 2$, then $\|(T \lambda)^{-1}\| \le (|\lambda| 1)^{-1}$ for $1 < |\lambda| < (\rho 1)/(\rho 2)$.
- A ρ -contraction similar to a unitary satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem A.
- An example of a 2-contraction similar to a unitary which is not a contraction: The bilateral weighted shift in $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ with weights ..., 1, 1, α , β , 1, 1, ..., where α , $\beta > 0$, max $(\alpha, \beta) > 1$ and $\alpha^2 + \beta^2 < 2$.

・ロト ・同ト ・ヨト ・ヨ

Pointwise resolvent estimates

2 Mean squares resolvent estimates

Tools used in the proofs

Consider the following conditions:

- Sim(T), T is similar to a unitary.
- PowBd(T), T is power bounded ($||T^n|| \le C, n \ge 0$).
- LMean²RG(T), T satisfies

$$\int_{|\lambda|=r} \|(T-\lambda)^{-1}x\|^2 \, |d\lambda| \le C \|x\|^2 (r-1)^{-1},$$

for every r > 1 and $x \in H$.

• LRG(T), T satisfies $||(T - \lambda)^{-1}|| \le C(|\lambda| - 1)^{-1}$, for every $|\lambda| > 1$.

Then Sim(T) \Rightarrow PowBd(T) \Rightarrow LMean²RG(T) \Rightarrow LRG(T).

- PowBd(T) & PowBd(T^{-1}) \Rightarrow Sim(T) (Sz. Nagy, 1947).
- PowBd(T) & LRG(T^{-1}) \Rightarrow Sim(T) (van Casteren, 1980).
- LMean²RG(T) & LMean²RG(T^{*}) & LRG(T⁻¹) \Rightarrow Sim(T) (van Casteren, 1983).
- LMean²RG(T) & LMean²RG(T^{*-1}) \Rightarrow Sim(T) (Naboko, 1984).

Consider the following conditions:

- Sim(T), T is similar to a unitary.
- PowBd(T), T is power bounded ($||T^n|| \le C, n \ge 0$).
- LMean²RG(T), T satisfies

$$\int_{|\lambda|=r} \|(T-\lambda)^{-1}x\|^2 \, |d\lambda| \le C \|x\|^2 (r-1)^{-1},$$

for every r > 1 and $x \in H$.

• LRG(T), T satisfies $||(T - \lambda)^{-1}|| \le C(|\lambda| - 1)^{-1}$, for every $|\lambda| > 1$.

Then $Sim(T) \Rightarrow PowBd(T) \Rightarrow LMean^2RG(T) \Rightarrow LRG(T)$.

- PowBd(T) & PowBd(T^{-1}) \Rightarrow Sim(T) (Sz. Nagy, 1947).
- PowBd(T) & LRG(T^{-1}) \Rightarrow Sim(T) (van Casteren, 1980).
- LMean²RG(T) & LMean²RG(T^*) & LRG(T^{-1}) \Rightarrow Sim(T) (van Casteren, 1983).
- LMean²RG(T) & LMean²RG(T^{*-1}) \Rightarrow Sim(T) (Naboko, 1984).

Consider the following conditions:

- Sim(*T*), *T* is similar to a unitary.
- PowBd(T), T is power bounded ($||T^n|| \le C, n \ge 0$).
- LMean²RG(T), T satisfies

$$\int_{|\lambda|=r} \|(T-\lambda)^{-1}x\|^2 \, |d\lambda| \le C \|x\|^2 (r-1)^{-1},$$

for every r > 1 and $x \in H$.

• LRG(*T*), *T* satisfies $||(T - \lambda)^{-1}|| \le C(|\lambda| - 1)^{-1}$, for every $|\lambda| > 1$.

Then $Sim(T) \Rightarrow PowBd(T) \Rightarrow LMean^2RG(T) \Rightarrow LRG(T)$.

- PowBd(T) & PowBd(T^{-1}) \Rightarrow Sim(T) (Sz. Nagy, 1947).
- PowBd(T) & LRG(T^{-1}) \Rightarrow Sim(T) (van Casteren, 1980).
- LMean²RG(T) & LMean²RG(T^*) & LRG(T^{-1}) \Rightarrow Sim(T) (van Casteren, 1983).
- LMean²RG(T) & LMean²RG(T^{*-1}) \Rightarrow Sim(T) (Naboko, 1984).

• Ω a Jordan domain, $\Gamma = \partial \Omega$.

• $\{\gamma_s\}_{0 < s < 1}$ a collection of Jordan curves tends nicely to Γ if:

- $\bigcirc C^{-1}s \leq \operatorname{dist}(x, \Gamma) \leq Cs, \quad x \in \gamma_s$
- (2) length($\gamma_{s} \cap \dot{B}(x, r)$) $\leq Cr$

• If $\gamma_s \subset \Omega$ ($\gamma_s \subset \mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{\Omega}$) for all *s*, we say that { γ_s } tends to Γ from the inside (outside).

We consider the condition

$$\int_{\gamma_s} \|(T-\lambda)^{-1}x\|^2 \, |d\lambda| \le C \|x\|^2 s^{-1},$$

where $\{\gamma_s\}_{0 < s < 1}$ tends to Γ from the inside/outside. This condition does not depend on the particular choice of $\{\gamma_s\}$.

- Ω a Jordan domain, $\Gamma = \partial \Omega$.
- $\{\gamma_s\}_{0 < s < 1}$ a collection of Jordan curves tends nicely to Γ if:
 - $\bigcirc C^{-1}s \leq \operatorname{dist}(x, \Gamma) \leq Cs, \quad x \in \gamma_s$
 - (2) length($\gamma_{s} \cap B(x, r)$) $\leq Cr$
- If $\gamma_s \subset \Omega$ ($\gamma_s \subset \mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{\Omega}$) for all *s*, we say that $\{\gamma_s\}$ tends to Γ from the inside (outside).

We consider the condition

$$\int_{\gamma_s} \|(T-\lambda)^{-1}x\|^2 \, |d\lambda| \le C \|x\|^2 s^{-1},$$

where $\{\gamma_s\}_{0 < s < 1}$ tends to Γ from the inside/outside. This condition does not depend on the particular choice of $\{\gamma_s\}$.

- Ω a Jordan domain, $\Gamma = \partial \Omega$.
- $\{\gamma_s\}_{0 < s < 1}$ a collection of Jordan curves tends nicely to Γ if:
 - $\bigcirc C^{-1}s \leq \operatorname{dist}(x, \Gamma) \leq Cs, \quad x \in \gamma_s$
 - 2 length($\gamma_s \cap \dot{B}(x, r)$) $\leq Cr$
- If $\gamma_s \subset \Omega$ ($\gamma_s \subset \mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{\Omega}$) for all *s*, we say that { γ_s } tends to Γ from the inside (outside).

We consider the condition

$$\int_{\gamma_{\boldsymbol{s}}} \|(\boldsymbol{T}-\lambda)^{-1}\boldsymbol{x}\|^2 \, |\boldsymbol{d}\lambda| \leq C \|\boldsymbol{x}\|^2 \boldsymbol{s}^{-1},$$

where $\{\gamma_s\}_{0 < s < 1}$ tends to Γ from the inside/outside. This condition does not depend on the particular choice of $\{\gamma_s\}$.

van Casteren (for $\sigma(T) \subset \mathbb{T}$): LMean²RG(T) & LMean²RG(T^*) & LRG(T^{-1}) \Rightarrow Sim(T).

Theorem B

Assume that Ω is a $C^{1+\alpha}$ Jordan domain, $\Gamma = \partial \Omega$, and $\sigma(T) \subset \Gamma$. If

$$\begin{split} \|(T-\lambda)^{-1}\| &\leq C \operatorname{dist}(\lambda,\Gamma)^{-1}, \quad \lambda \in \Omega \\ &\int_{\gamma_s} \|(T-\lambda)^{-1}x\|^2 \, |d\lambda| \leq C \|x\|^2 s^{-1}, \\ &\int_{\gamma_s} \|(T^*-\overline{\lambda})^{-1}x\|^2 \, |d\lambda| \leq C \|x\|^2 s^{-1}, \end{split}$$

for $\{\gamma_s\}_{0 < s < 1}$ tending nicely to Γ from the outside, then *T* is similar to a normal.

Naboko (for $\sigma(T) \subset \mathbb{T}$): LMean²RG(T) & LMean²RG(T^{*-1}) \Rightarrow Sim(T).

Theorem C

Assume that Ω is a $C^{1+\alpha}$ Jordan domain, $\Gamma = \partial \Omega$, and $\sigma(T) \subset \Gamma$. If

$$egin{aligned} &\int_{\gamma_{\mathcal{S}}} \|(\mathcal{T}-\lambda)^{-1}x\|^2 \, |d\lambda| \leq C \|x\|^2 s^{-1}, \ &\int_{\widetilde{\gamma_{\mathcal{S}}}} \|(\mathcal{T}^*-\overline{\lambda})^{-1}x\|^2 \, |d\lambda| \leq C \|x\|^2 s^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

for $\{\gamma_s\}_{0 < s < 1}$ tending nicely to Γ from the outside and $\{\widetilde{\gamma_s}\}_{0 < s < 1}$ tending nicely to Γ from the inside, then T is similar to a normal.

Pointwise resolvent estimates

Mean squares resolvent estimates

Tools used in the proofs

Pseudoanalytic extension: If $f \in \mathbb{C}^{1+\alpha}(\Gamma)$, there is $F \in C^1(\mathbb{C})$ such that $F|\Gamma = f$ and $|\overline{\partial}F(z)| \leq C ||f||_{C^{1+\alpha}} \operatorname{dist}(z,\Gamma)^{\alpha}$.

If $\|(T - \lambda)^{-1}\| \leq C \operatorname{dist}(\lambda, \Gamma)^{-1}$, Dynkin defines a $C^{1+\alpha}(\Gamma)$ -calculus for T:

$$f(T) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial D} F(\lambda) (\lambda - T)^{-1} d\lambda - \frac{1}{\pi} \iint_{D} \overline{\partial} F(\lambda) (\lambda - T)^{-1} dA(\lambda),$$

where F is a pseudoanalytic extension of f and $D \supset \Gamma$.

This calculus defines a map $\Phi : C^{1+\alpha}(\Gamma) \to B(H)$ which is linear, bounded, multiplicative, and such that $\Phi(z) = T$. It also satisfies the spectral mapping property: $\sigma(f(T)) = f(\sigma(T))$.

Pseudoanalytic extension: If $f \in \mathbb{C}^{1+\alpha}(\Gamma)$, there is $F \in C^1(\mathbb{C})$ such that $F|\Gamma = f$ and $|\overline{\partial}F(z)| \leq C ||f||_{C^{1+\alpha}} \operatorname{dist}(z,\Gamma)^{\alpha}$.

If $||(T - \lambda)^{-1}|| \leq C \operatorname{dist}(\lambda, \Gamma)^{-1}$, Dynkin defines a $C^{1+\alpha}(\Gamma)$ -calculus for T:

$$f(T) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial D} F(\lambda) (\lambda - T)^{-1} d\lambda - \frac{1}{\pi} \iint_{D} \overline{\partial} F(\lambda) (\lambda - T)^{-1} dA(\lambda),$$

where *F* is a pseudoanalytic extension of *f* and $D \supset \Gamma$.

This calculus defines a map $\Phi : C^{1+\alpha}(\Gamma) \to B(H)$ which is linear, bounded, multiplicative, and such that $\Phi(z) = T$. It also satisfies the spectral mapping property: $\sigma(f(T)) = f(\sigma(T))$.

Pseudoanalytic extension: If $f \in \mathbb{C}^{1+\alpha}(\Gamma)$, there is $F \in C^1(\mathbb{C})$ such that $F|\Gamma = f$ and $|\overline{\partial}F(z)| \leq C ||f||_{C^{1+\alpha}} \operatorname{dist}(z,\Gamma)^{\alpha}$.

If $||(T - \lambda)^{-1}|| \leq C \operatorname{dist}(\lambda, \Gamma)^{-1}$, Dynkin defines a $C^{1+\alpha}(\Gamma)$ -calculus for T:

$$f(T) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial D} F(\lambda) (\lambda - T)^{-1} d\lambda - \frac{1}{\pi} \iint_{D} \overline{\partial} F(\lambda) (\lambda - T)^{-1} dA(\lambda),$$

where *F* is a pseudoanalytic extension of *f* and $D \supset \Gamma$.

This calculus defines a map $\Phi : C^{1+\alpha}(\Gamma) \to B(H)$ which is linear, bounded, multiplicative, and such that $\Phi(z) = T$. It also satisfies the spectral mapping property: $\sigma(f(T)) = f(\sigma(T))$.

van Casteren (for $\sigma(T) \subset \mathbb{T}$): PowBd(T) & LRG(T^{-1}) \Rightarrow Sim(T).

Theorem D (Dritschel-E.-Yakubovich arXiv:1510.08350)

Assume that Ω is a $C^{1+\alpha}$ Jordan domain, $\Gamma = \partial \Omega$, $\sigma(T) \subset \Gamma$, and $\eta : \Gamma \to \mathbb{T}$ a $C^{1+\alpha}$ -diffeomorphism. Assume that $||(T-\lambda)^{-1}|| \leq C \operatorname{dist}(\lambda, \Gamma)^{-1}$. Then $\eta(T)$ is defined by Dynkin's calculus. If $\eta(T)$ is power bounded, then T is similar to a normal.

We take $\eta : \Gamma \to \mathbb{T}$ a $C^{1+\alpha}$ -diffeomorphism and do a "good" pseudonalytic extension to a neighbourhood of Γ (we also denote it by η).

Key estimate:

$$C^{-1} \| (T - \lambda)^{-1} x \| \le \| (\eta(T) - \eta(\lambda))^{-1} x \| \le C \| (T - \lambda)^{-1} x \|.$$

We take $\eta : \Gamma \to \mathbb{T}$ a $C^{1+\alpha}$ -diffeomorphism and do a "good" pseudonalytic extension to a neighbourhood of Γ (we also denote it by η).

Key estimate:

$$C^{-1} \| (T - \lambda)^{-1} x \| \le \| (\eta(T) - \eta(\lambda))^{-1} x \| \le C \| (T - \lambda)^{-1} x \|.$$

If Ω is a bounded convex domain and the of the numerical range of T is contained in $\overline{\Omega}$, then $\overline{\Omega}$ is a complete *K*-spectral set for *T*, for some $K \ge 1$.

Recall that the conclusion means that

 $\|f(T)\| \leq K \|f\|_{L^{\infty}(\overline{\Omega})},$

for every matrix-valued rational function f with poles off $\overline{\Omega}$.

Theorem E

If Ω is $C^{1+\alpha}$ Jordan domain, $\Gamma = \partial \Omega$, $\sigma(T) \subset \overline{\Omega}$, and R > 0 is such that for each $\lambda \in \Gamma$ there is $\mu(\lambda) \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{\Omega}$ such that $dist(\mu(\lambda), \Gamma) = |\lambda - \mu(\lambda)| = R$ and $||(T - \mu(\lambda))^{-1}|| \leq R^{-1}$. Then $\overline{\Omega}$ is a complete *K*-spectral set for *T*, for some $K \geq 1$.

If Ω is a bounded convex domain and the of the numerical range of T is contained in $\overline{\Omega}$, then $\overline{\Omega}$ is a complete *K*-spectral set for *T*, for some $K \ge 1$.

Recall that the conclusion means that

 $||f(T)|| \leq K ||f||_{L^{\infty}(\overline{\Omega})},$

for every matrix-valued rational function f with poles off $\overline{\Omega}$.

Theorem E

If Ω is $C^{1+\alpha}$ Jordan domain, $\Gamma = \partial \Omega$, $\sigma(T) \subset \overline{\Omega}$, and R > 0 is such that for each $\lambda \in \Gamma$ there is $\mu(\lambda) \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{\Omega}$ such that $dist(\mu(\lambda), \Gamma) = |\lambda - \mu(\lambda)| = R$ and $||(T - \mu(\lambda))^{-1}|| \leq R^{-1}$. Then $\overline{\Omega}$ is a complete *K*-spectral set for *T*, for some $K \geq 1$.